City of London: Projects Procedure Corporate Risks Register																						
Project Name: Unique project identifier:		Beech Street Transport and Public Realm Improvem				PM's overall risk rating:	Medium		CRP requested	T-	£ 260,000		Average unmitigated risk		l xx				Open Risk			
		10847					Total estimated cost (exc risk):			Total CRP used to date	£ -		Average mitigated		8.5			Closed Risks 0				
General risk Risk Gatew ID	classification ay Category	Description of the Risk	Risk Impact Description	Likelihood Classification n pre- mitigation	Impact Classificatio n pre- mitigation		Costed impact pre- mitigation (£)	Costed Risk Provision request Y/N	Confidence in the estimation	Mitigation actions Mitigating actions	Mitigat cost (£	Classificat ion post-		Costed impact post- mitigation (£)	Mitiga to		Use of CRP	Ownership Date raised	Named Departmental Risk Manager/ Coordinator	Risk owner (Named Officer or External Party)	Date Closed OR/ Realised & moved to	Comment(s)
R10 6	(1) Compliance/Re gulatory	Legal Challenge to a permanent traffic order	Challenge on procedural or other grounds relating to the traffic order	Likely	Major	16	£100,000.00	Y - for costed impo post-mitigation	act A – Very Confident	There is no real mitigation as the event of a Legal Challenge is completely out of the City's control		£0.00 Likely	Major	£100,000.00	16	£0.00	Possible: Legal and DBE staff time, external Legal fees, consultancy work		Leah Coburn	Kristian Turner		Given the nature of the scheme and the scale of public interest, it is considered likely that further legal challenges, such as a Public Enquiry may be forthcoming
R11 6	(1) Compliance/Re gulatory	Delays to TfL approving the TMAN for the permanent traffic order	There may be delays to the TMAN approval if TfL have any concerns relating to the impact of a permanent scheme on the network	Unlikely	Serious	4	£20,000.00	Y - for costed impo post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	Continue to prepare for the data and constantly liaise with TfL teams		£0.00 Unlikely	Serious	£20,000.00	4	£0.00	Possible: Staff time + modelling	01/10/19	Leah Coburn	Kristian Turner		In theory TfL have 28 days to approve or reject a TMAN. If approved, great. If not approved and rejected see Risk above
R12 6	(8) Technology	Additional data and monitoring is required	Stakeholders and Members may want more data to prove the impacts of the scheme	Possible	Minor	3	£70,000.00	Y - for mitigation co	osts B – Fairly Confident	Interrogate the data already collected or programmed as far as possible to draw reasonable conclusions	£10,0	000.00 Unlikely	Minor	£60,000.00	2	£0.00	Fees and staff time	02/10/20	Leah Coburn			The data we are capturing is on a week snapshot within 6-12 months. It is possible that we will be asked for even more data, possibly in more locations
R13 6	(1) Compliance/Re gulatory	Removing or restatring the ETO	If the Legal Challenge goes against the existing ETO it may be necessary to cancel the ETO or make a fresh start	Possible	Major	12	£80,000.00	Y - for mitigation co	osts B – Fairly Confident	There is limited scope to mitigate a Legal ruling		£0.00 Possible	Major	£80,000.00	12	£0.00	Staff time, legal and external fees		Leah Coburn	Kristian Turner		It will be determined by the ruling if the ETO must be amended or withdrawn.